

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,653	11/09/2001	Fernando Gonzalez	98095DIV4	8023
26285	7590 12/20/2005		EXAMINER	
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP 535 SMITHFIELD STREET			RICHARDS, N DREW	
	PITTSBURGH, PA 15222		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	U					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/008,653	GONZALEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Al .	N. Drew Richards	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	ctober 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,98-103,125,126 and 128</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,98-103,125,126 and 128</u> is/are reje)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,98-103,125,126 and 128</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	in the definited depices het receive	u .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 17, 98-101, 103, 125, 126 and 128 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moravvej-Farshi et al. ("Novel Self-Aligned Polysilicon-Gate MOSFETs with Polysilicon Source and Drain," Solid-State Electronics, Vol. 30, No. 10, 1987, Pp. 1053-62) in view of Hong (U.S. Patent No. 5,534,447) and further in view of Hsu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,693,974, previously cited by applicant).

Moravvej-Farshi et al. disclose in figure 6 a raised drain structure (n+ poly), a raised source structure (n+ poly), a gate (n+ poly) located between the source and drain, a first capping layer (silicon dioxide on left half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a first portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a second capping layer (silicon dioxide on right half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain, and a second portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain. Moravvej-Farshi et al. do not teach a first pocket implant junction located in the substrate assembly comprising a first high dose implant and defining a first low-resistance path wherein the first pocket implant junction is in communication with the source predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and

Art Unit: 2815

extends under a first portion of the gate. Nor does Moravvej-Farshi et al. teach a second pocket implant junction located in the substrate assembly comprising a second high dose implant and defining a second low-resistance path wherein the second pocket implant junction is in communication with the drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extends under a first portion of the gate.

Hong teaches a transistor formed on a substrate assembly in figure 2f, for example. Hong teach a source 260/280, a drain 260/280, polysilicon gate 240 between the source and drain, a gate oxide 230, and first and second pocket implant junctions 262. As seen in figure 2f (with the implant shown in figure 2e) pocket implant junctions are formed in the substrate assembly by first and second high dose implants, thus defining a low-resistance path, and the pocket implant junctions are in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extend under a portion of the gate.

In combining the pocket implant junction of Hong with the raised source/drain structure of Moravvej-Farshi et al., the pocket implants would necessarily be formed in the substrate along the edges (and partially underneath) of the gate. The pocket implants would also necessarily be formed in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof since the source and drain of Moravvej-Farshi et al. are raised.

Also, it is noted that a highly doped region in silicon (whether highly doped n-type or p-type) defines a low-resistance path as the addition of the n- or p- type dopants is known to lower the resistance of the material.

Art Unit: 2815

Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form a first and second pocket implant junction as claimed. The motivation for doing so is to prevent punchthrough between the source and drain. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong et al. do not teach a first field oxide region at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly and in communication with the raised drain structure and a second field oxide region at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly and in communication with the raised source structure.

Hsu et al. teach a transistor on a substrate assembly in figure 12 which includes a raised source and drain 34, a gate 18, and a first field oxide region 12 at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly 10 and in communication with the raised drain structure 34 and a second field oxide region 12 at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly 10 and in communication with the raised source structure 34.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong and Hsu et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form a first and second field oxide region as claimed. The motivation for doing so is to isolate semiconductor surface regions from other such regions in the substrate (Hsu et al. column 3 lines 37-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong with Hsu et al. to obtain the invention of claim 17.

With regard to claim 98, the raised source is doped polysilicon.

Art Unit: 2815

With regard to claim 99, the raised drain is doped polysilicon.

With regard to claim 100, the gate is doped polysilicon.

With regard to claim 101, the source includes a plug.

With regard to claim 103, the gate includes a gate terminal as the entire gate structure is considered the gate terminal.

With regard to claim 125, Moravvej-Farshi et al. disclose in figure 6 a raised drain structure (n+ poly), a raised source structure (n+ poly), a gate (n+ poly) located between the source and drain, a first capping layer (silicon dioxide on left half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a first portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a first outidffusion area (shown with dashed lines) located in the substrate assembly and extending under a second portion of the source, a second capping layer (silicon dioxide on right half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain, a second portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain, and a second outdiffusion area (dashed line beneath drain) located in the substrate assembly extending under a second portion of the drain. Moravvej-Farshi et al. do not teach a first pocket implant junction located in the substrate assembly comprising a first high dose implant and defining a first low-resistance path wherein the first pocket implant junction is in communication with the source predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extends under a first portion of the gate. Nor does Moravvej-Farshi et al. teach a second pocket implant junction located in the substrate assembly comprising a second high dose implant and defining a second low-resistance

path wherein the second pocket implant junction is in communication with the drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extends under a first portion of the gate.

Hong teaches a transistor formed on a substrate assembly in figure 2f, for example. Hong teach a source 260/280, a drain 260/280, polysilicon gate 240 between the source and drain, a gate oxide 230, and first and second pocket implant junctions 262. As seen in figure 2f (with the implant shown in figure 2e) pocket implant junctions are formed in the substrate assembly by first and second high dose implants, thus defining a low-resistance path, and the pocket implant junctions are in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extend under a portion of the gate.

In combining the pocket implant junction of Hong with the raised source/drain structure of Moravvej-Farshi et al., the pocket implants would necessarily be formed in the substrate along the edges (and partially underneath) of the gate. The pocket implants would also necessarily be formed in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof since the source and drain of Moravvej-Farshi et al. are raised.

Also, it is noted that a highly doped region in silicon (whether highly doped n-type or p-type) defines a low-resistance path as the addition of the n- or p- type dopants is known to lower the resistance of the material.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of

Art Unit: 2815

ordinary skill in the art to form a first and second pocket implant junction as claimed.

The motivation for doing so is to prevent punchthrough between the source and drain.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong et al. do not teach a first field oxide region at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly and in communication with the raised drain structure and a second field oxide region at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly and in communication with the raised source structure.

Hsu et al. teach a transistor on a substrate assembly in figure 12 which includes a raised source and drain 34, a gate 18, and a first field oxide region 12 at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly 10 and in communication with the raised drain structure 34 and a second field oxide region 12 at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly 10 and in communication with the raised source structure 34.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong and Hsu et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form a first and second field oxide region as claimed. The motivation for doing so is to isolate semiconductor surface regions from other such regions in the substrate (Hsu et al. column 3 lines 37-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong with Hsu et al. to obtain the invention of claim 125.

With regard to claim 126, though Moravvej-Farshi et al. do not specifically teach forming the device of figure 6 as a P-channel device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the device with opposite conductivity types than shown

to form a PMOS. In doing so and applying the teaching of Wolf et al. to suppress punchthrough effects it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the first and second pocket implant junctions with phosphorous. Wolf et al. teach doping with phosphorous in a PMOS device to form pocket implants on page 238, final paragraph.

Page 8

With regard to claim 128, Moravvej-Farshi et al. disclose in figure 6 a raised drain structure (n+ poly), a raised source structure (n+ poly), a gate (n+ poly) located between the source and drain, a first capping layer (silicon dioxide on left half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a first portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a second capping layer (silicon dioxide on right half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain, and a second portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain. Moravvej-Farshi et al. do not teach a halo structure in the substrate assembly comprising a first pocket implant junction and a second pocket implant junction, first pocket implant junction comprising a first high dose implant in communication with the source predominantly along a nonsidewall portion thereof and extends under a first edge of the gate and the second pocket implant junction comprising a second high dose implant in communication with the drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extends under a second edge of the gate.

Hong teaches a transistor formed on a substrate assembly in figure 2f, for example. Hong teach a source 260/280, a drain 260/280, polysilicon gate 240 between

Art Unit: 2815

the source and drain, a gate oxide 230, and first and second pocket implant junctions 262. As seen in figure 2f (with the implant shown in figure 2e) pocket implant junctions are formed in the substrate assembly by first and second high dose implants, thus defining a low-resistance path, and the pocket implant junctions are in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extend under a portion of the gate.

In combining the pocket implant junction of Hong with the raised source/drain structure of Moravvej-Farshi et al., the pocket implants would necessarily be formed in the substrate along the edges (and partially underneath) of the gate. The pocket implants would also necessarily be formed in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof since the source and drain of Moravvej-Farshi et al. are raised.

Also, it is noted that a highly doped region in silicon (whether highly doped n-type or p-type) defines a low-resistance path as the addition of the n- or p- type dopants is known to lower the resistance of the material.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form a first and second pocket implant junction as claimed.

The motivation for doing so is to prevent punchthrough between the source and drain.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong et al. do not teach a first field oxide region at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly and in communication with the

raised drain structure and a second field oxide region at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly and in communication with the raised source structure.

Page 10

Hsu et al. teach a transistor on a substrate assembly in figure 12 which includes a raised source and drain 34, a gate 18, and a first field oxide region 12 at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly 10 and in communication with the raised drain structure 34 and a second field oxide region 12 at least partially recessed within the substrate assembly 10 and in communication with the raised source structure 34.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong and Hsu et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form a first and second field oxide region as claimed. The motivation for doing so is to isolate semiconductor surface regions from other such regions in the substrate (Hsu et al. column 3 lines 37-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong with Hsu et al. to obtain the invention of claim 17.

For arguments sake, if applicant argues that the pocket implant junction of Hong figure 2f, when combined with Moravvei-Farshi et al. is still not "predominantly" along a non-sidewall portion of the source and drain, the claims are still obvious when combined with Hong figure 1. It would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. with figure 1 of Hong which provides the pocket implant junction along the entire bottom surface of the source and drain. In the device shown in Hong figure 1, the pocket implant junction is formed even more predominantly along a non-sidewall portion of the

Art Unit: 2815

source and drain. It would have been obvious to use the pocket implant junction of Hong figure 1 to prevent punchthrough between the source and drain.

3. Claim 102 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moravvej-Farshi et al. ("Novel Self-Aligned Polysilicon-Gate MOSFETs with Polysilicon Source and Drain," Solid-State Electronics, Vol. 30, No. 10, 1987, Pp. 1053-62) with Hong (U.S. Patent No. 5,534,447) and Hsu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,693,974) as applied to claims 17, 98-101, 103, 125, 126 and 128 above in view of lio et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,130,482).

Moravvej-Farshi et al. teach a plug on the source but do not teach an adhesive layer included in the plug. The plug of Moravvej-Farshi et al. is taught as comprising aluminum and the source region is silicon. Iio et al. teach an aluminum plug in a contact hole where the aluminum plug contacts a silicon substrate (figure 3C, column 9 lines 38-46 and column 10 lines 35-50). Iio et al. teach forming a TiN adhesion/barrier layer between the aluminum plug and the silicon substrate.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong, Hsu et al. and lio et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form an adhesion/barrier layer between the plug and the silicon source. The motivation for doing so is to prevent junction spiking (see lio et al. column 10 lines 44-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al., Hong and Hsu et al. with lio et al. to obtain the invention of claim 102.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,653 Page 12

Art Unit: 2815

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17, 98-103, 125, 126 and 128 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Drew Richards whose telephone number is (571) 272-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,653 Page 13

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

V. Drew Richards

AU 2815